## Electrooxidative rearrangement of 5,(n + 6)-dimethoxy-1-oxabicyclo[n.4.0]alkanes (n = 4, 10) into $\omega$ -(2-methoxytetrahydrofur-2-yl)alkanoic esters

## Yuri N. Ogibin,\* Alexander O. Terent'ev, Alexey I. Ilovaisky and Gennady I. Nikishin

N. D. Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, 117913 Moscow, Russian Federation. Fax: +7 095 135 5328

5,(n + 6)-Dimethoxy-1-oxabicyclo[n.4.0]alkanes undergo a previously unknown oxidative rearrangement into  $\omega$ -(2-methoxytetra-hydrofur-2-yl)alkanoic esters during electrolysis in methanol.

Rearrangement of 5,(n + 6)-dimethoxy-1-oxabicyclo[n.4.0]alkanes  $\mathbf{1a}$ , $\mathbf{b}^{\dagger}$  into  $\omega$ -(2-methoxytetrahydrofur-2-yl)alkanoic esters  $\mathbf{2a}$ , $\mathbf{b}$  occurred when compounds  $\mathbf{1a}$ , $\mathbf{b}$  were electrolysed in methanol in the presence of  $\mathrm{Bu}_4\mathrm{NBF}_4$  as an electrolyte, in an undivided cell with a platinum anode and a stainless steel cathode, at room temperature with the passage of  $7 \mathrm{F} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$  of electric current. Products  $\mathbf{2a}$ , $\mathbf{b}$  were formed in yields of 65–75% (Scheme 1).

The structures of products 2a,b were assigned based on their spectral data.§ Thus, there were signals ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.76–2.13, 3.14, 3.85 and  $\delta_{\rm C}$  47.5–47.8, 66.9–67.1, 108.8–109.1) common to the protons and  $^{13}$ C-nuclei of the 2-methoxytetrahydrofuryl group. In addition, their structures were confirmed by acidic hydrolysis into a mixture of tautomers: methyl  $\omega$ -hydroxy-(2-methoxytetrahydrofur-2-yl)alkanoates ( $\bf 3''a$ , $\bf b$ ) (minor) and  $\omega$ -hydroxy-( $\omega$ -3)-oxoalkanoates ( $\bf 3''a$ , $\bf b$ ) (major).‡ Evidence for the fact that the hydrolysis products constitute a tautomer mixture is provided by an infrared absorption characteristic of the ketone and ester carbonyl groups (1715 and 1735 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and 20 lines in the  $^{13}$ C NMR spectrum of  $\bf 3a$ .

The electrochemical transformation of compound 1a into ester 2a seems to result from a transannular rearrangement of the cationic intermediate 4a into a more stable carbocation 6a via the oxonium ion 5a and subsequent conversion of 6a into 2a (Scheme 2).

In a similar manner ester **2b** is formed from compound **2a**. Judging by the absence of orthoesters among the electrolysis products, †† the formation of which might be expected as a result of solvolysis of the cationic intermediates **4**, the reaction either

OMe
$$(CH_{2})_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ j \\ j \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(CH_{2})_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ j \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(CH_{2})_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(COOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(COOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(COOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(COOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(COOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(COOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(COOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(COOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(COOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(COOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(COOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(COOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OMe
$$(OOMe)_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ i \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
OM

**Scheme 1** Reagents and conditions: i, Bu<sub>4</sub>NBF<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, 20 °C, 2.5 h (7 F mol<sup>-1</sup>); ii, 10% HCl, 20 °C, 20 min.

MeO OMe
$$(CH_2)_n$$
MeO OMe
$$(CH_2)_n$$
MeO OMe

does not proceed at all, or occurs substantially more slowly than the rearrangement of intermediates 4 into 6.

§ Spectral data for **2a**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 1.20–1.75 (m, 6H, CH<sub>2</sub> of aliphatic chain), 1.76–2.13 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub> of THF ring), 2.31 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>COO), 3.14 (s, 3H, MeO), 3.64 (s, 3H, COOMe), 3.85 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>O). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 173.8 (COO), 109.1 (O–C–O), 67.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 51.3, 47.8 (MeO), 35.7, 35.2, 33.8, 24.97, 24.14, 24.07 (CH<sub>3</sub>). IR (thin film, ν/cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1060, 1165 (C–O), 1735 (C=O).

For **2b**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 1.20–1.75 (m, 18H, CH<sub>2</sub> of aliphatic chain), 1.76–2.13 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub> of THF ring), 2.31 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>COO), 3.17 (s, 3H, MeO), 3.67 (s, 3H, COOMe), 3.85 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>O). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 173.5 (COO), 108.8 (O–C–O), 66.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 51.0, 47.5 (MeO), 34.0, 29.07, 28.95, 26.25, 24.67, 24.10, 23.85, 23.61 (CH<sub>2</sub>). IR (thin film, ν/cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1070, 1175 (C–O), 1735 (C=O).

For **3a**:  $^{1}$ H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 1.55–1.90 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.10–2.23 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>COO), 2.30–2.63 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>C=O), 3.35 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 3.68 (s, 3H, MeO), 4.28 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>O of THF ring).  $^{13}$ C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 210.1 (C=O), 174.2, 173.8 (O=C-O), 104.4 (O=C-O), 66.9, 61.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 51.8, 51.3 (OMe), 43.8, 41,8, 33.92, 32.63, 32.31, 31.30, 30.62, 26.32, 25.70, 25.45, 23.80, 22.67 (CH<sub>2</sub>). IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>,  $\nu$ /cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1060, 1165 (C=O), 1715, 1735(C=O), 3660 (OH).

For **3b**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 1.27 (br. s, 12H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.50–2.00 (m, 6H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.30 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>COO), 2.43 and 2.56 (t, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>COCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.65 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 3.67 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.87 (br. s, 1H, OH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 211.9 (C=O), 174.3 (COO), 62.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 51.4 (OMe), 42.9, 39.5, 34.05, 29.29, 29.14, 27.90, 26.43, 24.88, 23.81 (CH<sub>2</sub>). IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>,  $\nu$ /cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1060, 1165 (C=O), 1715, 1735(C=O), 3660 (OH).

¶ Similar involvement of the tetrahydrofuranyl oxonium ions in the transformation of linear methoxy-substituted aliphatic carbenium ions was discussed in detail in ref. 8.

††Tests for the content of orthoesters in the electrolysis products were performed using the procedure described in ref. 9.

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger$  The starting compounds **1a,b** were obtained from 1-oxabicyclo[4.4.0]dec-5(10)-ene¹ and 1-oxabicyclo[10.4.0]hexadec-5(16)-ene² in 65% yield under the conditions used for the electrochemical dimethoxylation of linear and monocyclic enol ethers.³-5

<sup>‡</sup> Electrolysis of 1 (typical procedure). An electrolyte solution (9 mmol), compound 1 (5 mmol) and n-decane (internal standard, 3 mmol) in MeOH (15–25 ml) were placed in an undivided cell described previously<sup>6</sup> and then electrolysed at a constant current (0.5 A) and room temperature with efficient stirring until more than 90% conversion of 1 (2.5 h, 7 F mol<sup>-1</sup>) had occurred. The solvent was then removed, the residue extracted with hexane (2×20 ml), the combined extracts were concentrated and the products isolated using vacuum distillation or flash chromatography with hexane–ethyl acetate (1%) as eluent.

The rearrangement of 1 into 2 is accompanied by the side process of solvent oxidation and this leads to an increase in the consumption of electricity (7 F mol<sup>-1</sup>) with respect to the theoretical amount (2 F mol<sup>-1</sup>) in order to achieve a high degree of conversion of 1.

In conclusion, it may be noted that the transformation of 5,(n+6)-dimethoxy-1-oxabicyclo[n.4.0]alkanes 1a,b into  $\omega$ -methoxy- $\omega$ -(tetrahydrofur-2-yl)alkanoic 2a,b and  $\omega$ -hydroxy-( $\omega$ -3)-oxoalkanoic 3a,b esters is a new synthetic approach to substituted alkanoic esters of a similar type, which may find application in, e.g. the preparation of  $\omega$ -(4-oxobutanoyl)alkanoic esters and 2-( $\omega$ -alkoxycarbonylalkyl)cyclopent-2-en-1-ones,  $\omega$ -10 synthons for the synthesis of prostaglandins, pheromones and other valuable organic products.

This work was financially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 97-03-33159).

## References

- I. J. Borowitz, G. Gonis, R. Kelsey, R. Rapp and G. J. Williams, J. Org. Chem., 1966, 31, 3032.
- (a) J. Becker and G. Ohlaff, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1971, 54, 2889; (b)
   I. J. Borowitz, V. Bandurco, M. Neyman, R. D. G. Rigby and S. Ueng, J. Org. Chem., 1973, 38, 1234; (c) J. R. Mahayan and H. C. de Araujo, Synthesis, 1980, 64; (d) L. I. Zakharkin, A. P. Praynishnikov and V. V. Guseva, Zh. Org. Khim., 1979, 15, 1441 [J. Org. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.), 1979, 15, 1285]; (e) L. I. Zakharkin and I. M. Churikova, Izv. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Khim., 1994, 659 (Russ. Chem. Bull., 1994, 43, 608)
- (a) T. Shono, Y. Matsumura and Y. Nakagawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1974,
   96, 3532; (b) T. Shono, M. Okawa and I. Nishiguchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,
   1975, 97, 6144; (c) T. Shono, I. Nishiguchi and M. Nitta, Chem. Lett.,
   1976, 1319; (d) T. Shono, Y. Matsumura, H. Hamaguchi, T. Imanishi and K. Yoshida, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1978, 51, 2179; (e) T. Shono,
   I. Nishiguchi, S. Koshimura and M. Okawa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1978,
   51, 2181.

- 4 S. Torii, T. Inokuchi and R. Oi, J. Org. Chem., 1982, 47, 47.
- 5 B. Belleau and V. K. Au-Young, Can. J. Chem., 1969, 47, 2117.
- 6 Yu. N. Ogibin, A. I. Ilovaisky and G. I. Nikishin, *Izv. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Khim.*, 1994, 1624 (*Russ. Chem. Bull.*, 1994, 43, 1536).
- 7 T. Shono, Y. Matsumura, O. Onomura and Y. Yamada, Synthesis, 1987, 1099.
- 8 E. L. Allred and S. Winstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1967, 89, 3991, 4012.
- 9 Methoden der Organischen Chemie (Houben-Weyl), 4th edn., Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, 1965, Band VI/3, S. 315.
- (a) E. Wenkert, B. L. Buckwalter, A. A. Graveiro, E. L. Sanchez and S. S. Sathe, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 1267; (b) J. M. Reuter and R. G. Salomon, J. Org. Chem., 1978, 43, 4247; (c) U. Valcavi, P. Farina, S. Innocenti and V. Simoni, Synthesis, 1983, 124; (d) C. Boga, D. Savoia, C. Trombini and A. Umani-Ronchi, Synthesis, 1986, 212; (e) D. Savoia, C. Trombini and A. Umani-Ronchi, J. Org. Chem., 1982, 47, 564; (f) H. Stach and M. Hesse, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1987, 70, 315; (g) N. W. A. Geraghty and N. M. Morris, Synthesis, 1989, 603; (h) Yu. N. Ogibin, E. K. Starostin, A. V. Aleksandrov, K. K. Pivnitsky and G. I. Nikishin, Synthesis, 1994, 901.

Received: Moscow, 10th August 1998 Cambridge, 11th September 1998; Com. 8/06555A